



Decision Ref. No.
(Please enter)
B030/17

DECISION RECORD ¹

Officer Key Decisions are subject to the Council's Call-In Procedure (Annex 9 of the Council's Constitution <https://www.hertfordshire.gov.uk/about-the-council/freedom-of-information-and-council-data/open-data-statistics-about-hertfordshire/who-we-are-and-what-we-do/who-we-are-and-what-we-do.aspx>)

Subject: Extension of the Contract for Waterdale Waste Transfer Station

(Please use the same title as provided in the Forward Plan)

Staff Contact: Matthew King

Executive Member: Terry Hone

Tel: 01992 556207

Portfolio: Community Safety and Waste Management

1. **Decision**

To extend the contract for Waterdale waste transfer station, with FCC, to the 28th January 2019 as per the extension provisions in the contract.

2. **Reasons for the decision**

FCC operates the County Council owned Waterdale waste transfer station in North Watford. The current contract with FCC has a natural expiry of 28th January 2018 with the option for the County Council to extend for one year until 28th January 2019.

Exercising the option to extend the Waterdale contract until 2019 would allow time for the Waste Planning Authority to determine Veolia's planning application for the Rye House ERF. Thereafter more certainty would be able to be provided on disposal locations, either Rye House or alternative facilities, which would provide the market with greater surety on which to bid.

3. **Alternative options considered and rejected ²**

The options available are as follows:

1. Extend the existing contract for one year as per the provisions in the contract.
2. Go out to the market and re-procure.

A re-procurement process will be necessary; however delaying the process by one year will give the opportunity for more surety to be provided over disposal points on which the market can bid, preventing uncertainty being costed into the bids submitted.

A one year extension will extend the timeframe in which the procurement can be carried out. This will enable pre-market engagement to be carried out to establish if/what additional value and operational benefits can be drawn out of the procurement process.

DECISION RECORD

4. Consultation

(a) Comments of Executive Member^{3 4}

I have considered the decision record and report and am content to proceed.

(b) Comments of other consultees⁵

None required.

5. Following consultation with, and the concurrence of the Executive Member, I am proceeding with the proposed decision.

Signed:

Title: *AK*

Date: *3/7/17*

6. Copies of agreed document to:

- All consultees
- Chairman, and Vice-Chairmen of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- hard & electronic copy (for public inspection both at County Hall and on Hertsdirect) Democratic Services Manager - Room 213 County Hall.

¹ for guidance see Chief Legal Officer's note "Taking Decisions"

² details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer at the time the decision was made

³ record any conflict of interest declared by any Executive Member consulted. If an Executive Member declares a conflict of interest DO NOT PROCEED without seeking advice from the Chief Legal Officer

⁴ If the matter has general significance for the Council and/or is, or is likely to be, controversial, then the officer shall consult the appropriate Executive Member before proceeding. In some cases it will be necessary to consult more than one Executive Member, and in some cases the Leader of the Council will need to be consulted

⁵ If the matter has local significance, but no general significance for the Council and no controversial aspects, the officer shall consult or inform the local member in writing (or by e mail) and proceed. It is essential that all officers responsible for delivering services ensure that local members are kept well briefed on issues affecting their areas.